

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,983	12/30/2003	Katrina A. Mikhaylich	LAM2P189C	9703
25920 7	7590 05/17/2005		EXAM	INER
MARTINE P	ENILLA & GENCARE	ROSE, ROBERT A		
710 LAKEWA	Y DRIVE			
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBÉR
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2009	ς

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$\boldsymbol{\omega}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/749,983	MIKHAYLICH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Rose	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the story period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moreover than the statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>27 January 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition fo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the ap	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.					
_ ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to be	·					
	by the Examiner. Note the titlesh	04 01100 / 1010 / 10111 / 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority decrease.		. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	ocuments have been received in	Application No				
		en received in this National Stage				
application from the Internation  * See the attached detailed Office action		ot received				
dec the attached detailed office action	Total not of the defined copies in					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/749,983

Art Unit: 3723

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-16 are presented for examination.

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-12 of U. S. Patent No. 6,375,540 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: The claims of US 6,375,540 are deemed to fully anticipate the subject matter of applicant's claims.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,983 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chen('050) is cited of interest to show an apparatus for polishing a wafer comprising a sensor for monitoring the pad temperature during polishing and integrating the temperature change of the polishing pad over time and comparing it with stored integration coefficients to arrive at an endpoint. Chen et al('442), and Chen('952) are cited of interest to show a polishing apparatus having an infrared temperature sensor for use in measuring polishing pad temperature for endpoint determination. Thornton et al and Krusell et al are cited to show fluid pressure sensors located at a leading edge and trailing edge of a wafer to sense a change in pressure of the fluid as a means of endpoint detection. Boehm, Jr. et al is cited to show a linear polishing

4. In view of the new ground of rejection not necessitated by Applicant's response, this action is not made final.

apparatus with a temperature sensor for adjusting the temperature of the belt.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert Rose at telephone number (703) 308-1360.

Rr

May 13, 2005.

ROBERT A. ROSE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 323